Contents

Intr	4	
Syr	6	
Wh	ite Avoids the Benko	
1	Diemer, Veresov and Trompowsky	7
2	Colle, Zukertort, London and Torre Systems	22
3	Anti-Benoni 4 🖄 c3	37
4	Blumenfeld Gambit	42
5	Blumenfeld Gambit: 5 皇g5	55
6	1 d4 🖄 f6 2 c4 c5: 3 e3 and 3 dxc5	65
7	Vaganian Gambit	80
8	Vaganian Gambit: 7 e3	92
The	e Benko Gambit	
9	Benko Gambit Declined	104
10	Benko: Zaitsev, Dlugy and Modern Lines	120
11	Benko Gambit: 5 b6	137
12	Benko Accepted: Introduction and Rare Lines	148
13	Benko Accepted: King Walk	158
14	Benko Accepted: Fianchetto Lines	177
15	Benko Main Line with 10 罩b1	192
Un	derstanding the Benko	
16	Dream Positions for Black	200
17	Positions to Avoid	207
18	Tactical Exercises	212
	Solutions	217
Index of Variations		223

13 **Benko Accepted:** King Walk

1 d4 🖄 f6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 bxa6 g6 6 🖄 c3 🚊 xa6

Here we shall examine lines where White plays an early e4, allowing an exchange on f1 and recapturing with his king. The king will then generally 'walk' to g2 or h2, completing a 'castling by hand' manoeuvre. The standard way for White to do so is 7followed by 8 e4, but there are also two other ideas:

1) Bringing the knight to e2 to back up the c3-knight.

2) Advancing White's g-pawn two squares to gain space on the kingside as well as giving the king a square.

Thus at this point we need to look at two moves:

A:	7 e4	158
B :	7 Ŵf3	161

B: 7 ∞f3

In Line A we only examine lines without an early 2f f3.

A)

7 e4 🚊 xf1 8 🖄 xf1 d6 9 🖄 ge2

After 9 g4 & g7 (D) White has two main continuations:

a) 10 \u00e9g2 0-0 and then:

a1) 11 f3 was played in Seirawan-Fedorowicz, USA Ch, Long Beach 1989. Then I like the look of the untried 11...④fd7!. Since White has prevented



the knight from reaching e5 via g4, there is no reason to keep it on f6, so it moves to d7; the other knight can take its place later (after ... Db6 or ... De5) or be developed via a6. White's kingside pawn-structure provides some vague hopes of creating a kingside attack but can easily become a weakness in an endgame. After 12 Dge2 De5 13 b3 響a5 14 響c2 ^公bd7 followed by ...c4 Black has sufficient compensation.

a2) 11 g5 2h5 12 2ge2 f5 13 gxf6 (White gets a bad pawn-structure after 13 2g3 f4! 14 2xh5 f3+ 15 2f1 gxh5 followed by ... 2d7-e5 with an advantage for Black, Denayer-Krivoruchko, Cappelle la Grande 2005) 13... \arXi6 14 食g5 罩f7 15 營d2 營f8 16 罩af1?! (this rook is needed on the queenside; White should prefer 16 \equiv hf1 \triangle d7 17 f4 c4, intending to bring the knight to d3) 16... \triangle d7 17 f4 (Haba-Andruet, Toulouse 1990) 17...&xc3 18 bxc3 (18 \cong xc3 \equiv xa2 leaves Black better in all parts of the board) 18...h6 19 &h4 \equiv a4 \mp . Black wins one of the pawns back, with the advantage.

b) 10 g5 ②h5 11 ②ge2 Wc8! (the queen eyes the kingside and leaves the d7-square available for the queen's knight) 12 ③g2 (12 ②g3? is extremely bad because of 12...Wh3+ 13 ③g1, when the white king and rook will be trapped for a long time; after 13... ③d7 14 ③xh5 gxh5 15 斷f1 斷h4 16 g2 ③xc3! 17 bxc3 罩a4 Black won back the pawn with a much better position in Kaminik-Zso.Polgar, Israeli Team Ch 1996) 12...h6! (Black naturally attacks the far-advanced pawn). Now:

b1) 13 h4 and then:

b11) Julian Hodgson opted for an endgame by 13...hxg5 14 hxg5 豐g4+ 15 公g3 豐xd1 16 公xd1 公xg3 17 罩xh8+ 盒xh8 18 會xg3 公a6 but it does not look very convincing: 19 盒d2 公b4 (Suba-Hodgson, Spanish Team Ch 1993) 20 a4!? 公c2 21 罩a2 ±.

b12) I prefer 13... 2d7!?, intending ... 2e5-d3 (after ...c4). White's pawnstructure looks awkward while Black has two unusual features compared with normal lines: the knight on h5 and the uncastled king, but both pieces are quite comfortable in their 'new' locations.

b2) Black has a comfortable position after 13 gxh6 & xh6 14 & xh6 äxh6 with compensation, Seirawan-Alburt, USA Ch, Estes Park 1986. 9...&g7 (D)



Now White must decide where to put his king: h2 or g2. **10 h3**

After 10 g3 0-0 11 2g2, Benko himself demonstrated a viable plan for Black (although there are other good options too): 11...2b6 12 2b1 2a6. The reasoning behind this is that the long light-square diagonal is weakened by the knight's absence from f3, so Black will bring his knight to c7 to prepare to break it open by ...e6. Then:

a) After 13 f3 it's not yet a good moment to play ...e6, and Black should prefer 13...②d7, with good compensation. It's instructive to see why 13...e6?! is not so good: 14 dxe6 fxe6 15 盒g5 (now Black cannot make the ...d5 advance) 15...豐c6 16 營d2 罩ad8 17 罩hd1 罩d7 18 ②f4 ②c7 19 營c2 圭 Narciso Dublan-Rodriguez Guerrero, Calvia tt 2007. Then 19...d5? fails to 20 盒xf6 盒xf6 21 exd5 exd5 22 ③cxd5! ②xd5 23 罩xd5 罩xd5 24 罾c4 罩fd8 25 罩d1 +-.

b) 13 b3 ②c7 14 f3 e6! (now everything works well for Black because ...d5 is guaranteed) 15 dxe6 fxe6 16 全3 營c6 followed by ...d5, Gross-Benko, Aspen 1968.

10...0-0 11 🖄 g1 🖄 bd7 12 🖄 h2

We have a position very similar to the main lines but the knight is on e2. What are the fundamental differences? First of all, White is less scared by the idea of ... (2) a4, since the e2-knight is ready to replace the knight on c3 (one of the main ideas of White's scheme), although this is not so crucial for the evaluation of the position. On the other hand, there are fewer tools for White to fight for the c4-square since on e2 the knight is a long way from this square (whereas from f3 it can get there quickly via d2).

12....鬯a5

Black can also play 12...響b6. 13 響c2

13 罩f1?! does not actually weaken White's position, but I don't understand what point it might have. Perhaps White feels it is useful to defend the f2-pawn in some variations but it looks weird to me. 13...罩fb8 14 凹c2 more strange) 15... ④e5!? (the knight moves towards c4; Black mistakenly blew up the centre in Akobian-L.Martinez, Las Vegas blitz 2005: 15...e6? 16 dxe6 fxe6 17 \$\ddots g1 ±, when he couldn't play ...d5 but the d6- and e6pawns come under pressure) 16 b3 (16 a4 \le b4 with compensation) 16...c4 17

19 Ξ xa1 Ξ xa1 20 Od4 \pm ; the b3-pawn is quite dangerous, while the c6-square will soon be a good outpost for the white knight) 18 Od4 Ob4 19 Wb2 Oh6! (unpinning the f6-knight and so intending ... Od7) gives Black strong pressure on the queenside.

13....[©]b6 (D)



Preparing both ... (2)a4 and ... (2)c4, but which knight move will be better depends on the precise details of the position.

14 邕d1

Or 14 \Zb1, and now:

a) 14...Oc4?! can be met with 15 a4! (intending b3; the immediate 15 b3? allows 15...Oa3 16 Qxa3 Wxa3 with good compensation) 15...Wb4 16 b3 \pm , when 16...Oa3? does not work because of 17 Qxa3 Wxa3 18 b4! \pm and Zb3 wins the queen.

b) 14...公a4! 15 皇d2 公xc3 16 公xc3 罩fb8 17 罩he1 (17 b3 豐a3! blocks White's a4 advance) 17...公d7 18 皇g5 會f8 gives Black solid compensation as White is unable to make progress with any of his queenside

160

pawns, Shulman-Khalifman, World Cup, Khanty-Mansiisk (rapid) 2005.

After the text-move, however, it is better to put the knight on c4.

14....@c4!?

I feel this new move is better than $14...\bigcirc a4?!$, because after $15 \& d2 \oslash xc3$ 16 $\oslash xc3 \equiv fb8$, as in Shulman-Khalifman, World Cup, Khanty-Mansiisk 2005 (where $17 \equiv e1$ was played), White can play the straightforward 17 a4!?. This is the main difference between 14 $\equiv d1$ and 14 $\equiv b1$. With the rook on a1, White can follow this pawn advance up with $\equiv a2$. After $17... \cong b4$ 18 $\equiv a2!$ $\equiv c4$ 19 a5 $\oslash d7$ 20 $\Leftrightarrow g1 \pm Black's play$ is complicated by the a5-pawn.

15 a3!?

Intending to play b3 and then a4. Black is at least OK after 15 b3 2a3, when he blocks the a2-pawn, or 15 a4 2b4 16 2b5 2fb8 17 2ec3 2d7, when his pieces are active enough.

15....[@]b6 16 b3 2a5 17 ^[]b1 c4!

Black uses a tactical motif to secure counterplay. 18 b4 @xf2! 19 @f1!(Black gets a decisive attack after 19 bxa5? @h5 -+; 19 e5? @h5 20 @e4@xe5+ 21 @h1 @a7 22 bxa5 f5 \mp and then ...@f2) 19...@xf1 20 @e3 @g4+(forced) 21 hxg4 @e5+ 22 @h3 @f6 23 g5 @g7 24 bxa5 f6! is unclear because the white king is highly vulnerable.

B)

Now we move on to the main lines of the King Walk.

8 e4 âxf1 9 âxf1 d6 (D)

White has two main options: to evacuate his king to g2 (after g3) or h2



(after h3). We discuss these lines as follows:

B1:	10 h3	161
B2:	10 g3	165

B1)

10 h3 🖄 bd7 11 🖄 g1

11 g3 0-0 12 堂g2 transposes to Line B22.

11...0-0 12 🖆 h2

The main disadvantage of this plan is that it takes three moves instead of two (g3 and rightarrowg2). The advantage is that the white king will not be attacked on the long diagonal after Black breaks with ...e6 or ...f5.

12.... @a5 13 Ie1 Ifb8

Now White should think about developing his queen's bishop. For this he needs to defend the b2-pawn, and there are two ways he can do so: **B11**: $14 \frac{10}{2}$ 161

BII:	14 曾c2	101
B12:	14 Ze 2	163

B11)

14 \bigotimes c2 \bigotimes e8 (D) The knight heads for b5 to remove the white knight from c3.

161