Contents

| Symbols | | 4 |
|---------------------|---------------------|-----|
| Bibliography | | 5 |
| Introduction | | 6 |
| | | |
| 1 | Introducing the IQP | 8 |
| 2 | 1 e4 e5 | 22 |
| 3 | c3 Sicilian | 56 |
| 4 | Caro-Kann | 108 |
| 5 | French | 115 |
| 6 | Pirc/Modern | 134 |
| 7 | Scandinavian | 141 |
| 8 | Alekhine | 150 |
| Index of Variations | | 158 |

5 French

1 e4 e6

White has a range of options against the French, but many of the positions reached are quite unique (especially those from the Winawer, 1 e4 e6 2 d4 d5 3 22c3 2b4). French players often have more experience in these structures than their opponents do. Accordingly, it is particularly attractive to lure them into structures with which they are unfamiliar, which White can try to do with 2 d4 d5 3 2d2 (D) followed by 2gf3, 2d3 and c3, aiming to keep the pawn on e4 and meet ...cxd4 with cxd4.



This option frequently gives rise to an IQP where Black has gained time over standard lines. This gain of time means that Black generally should be able to achieve a fully satisfactory game, but needs to be able to handle a new structure.

My coverage in this chapter has been firstly to cover the standard IQP lines, such as those reached after the following move-orders: 3... 64 add 4 c5 5 c3 2c6 6 2gf3 cxd4 7 cxd4 dxe4 8 🖄 xe4; 3...c5 4 c3 🖄 c6 5 🖄 gf3 cxd4 6 cxd4 dxe4 7 ②xe4; 3... 皇e7 4 ②gf3 c5 5 c3 🖄 f6 6 🚊 d3 🖄 c6 7 0-0 cxd4 8 cxd4 dxe4 9 ②xe4. In these lines, there isn't much theory and, as mentioned above, Black should, objectively, be fine. Accordingly, I have relied on the general knowledge gained about IQP positions in this and other chapters to equip you better than your opponents for the resulting middlegames.

I have devoted particular attention to attempts at early simplification which have been recommended in recent popular repertoire works. White actually needs to know some theory here to avoid being worse right out of the opening, but the simplified positions retain scope for the better player to win.

More specifically, the chapter is organized as follows: Games 30-32 examine the 'IQP' lines (following 3... 金e7, 3... 公f6 and 3...c5), while 3... dxe4 is the subject of Game 33, and we examine all other moves (most notably 3... 公c6) in Game 34. It is worth noting that against the variety of semiwaiting moves such as 3...a6 and 3...h6 that have become quite topical in recent years, the IQP system works rather well, as these little pawn moves do little to disrupt our development plan.

Game 30 Collins – Teeuwen Kilkenny 2013

To start things off, let's look at a nice (if rather lightweight) game of mine. This was played in the legendary weekend tournament at Kilkenny. Over the years this event has attracted dozens of strong GMs including Michael Adams, Luke McShane, Ivan Cheparinov and Gawain Jones, who relish the chance to play entertaining chess in a highly informal setting.

This game was played in round 4, during a tournament which wasn't going especially well for me. Round 4 is played on Saturday night, the third round of that day, and I had White against a less experienced opponent. Rather than going for lines where he might be well prepared, I decided that the IQP system would be the perfect choice.

1 e4 e6 2 d4 d5 3 🖄 d2 🚊 e7

It can hardly be claimed that the IQP system leads to an advantage after this move, but the system becomes more attractive in my view since Black's options of early simplification with ... (such as we examine in Game 32) are ruled out. The lines after

3... \bigcirc 16 4 & d3 c5 5 c3 and 3...c5 4 c3 \bigcirc 16 5 & d3 are covered in the next two games.

4 🖄 gf 3 🖄 f6 5 🌲 d3 c5 6 c3

White has set up his pieces in the standard fashion, refusing to release the tension on either the e4-pawn (with e5) or the d4-pawn (with dxc5).

6.... 6 7 0-0 (D)



7...cxd4

Black finally decides to clarify the position in the centre. Continuing to wait would have resulted in some risk of confusing himself and ending up in a line for which he wasn't prepared. After 7...0-0, while White can stubbornly insist on maintaining the tension with something like 8 Ze1 (or even 8 a3!?), he can also choose 8 e5, when after 8... 2d7 we have transposed into a variation of the Korchnoi Gambit, which begins with 1 e4 e6 2 d4 d5 3 2d2 2f6 4 e5 2fd7 5 2d3 c5 6 c3 2c6 7 2gf3 (instead of the standard 7 ②e2). Black has several systems against this gambit (including accepting it with 7...cxd4 8 cxd4 營b6 9 0-0 (2)xd4), but the line with 7...(2)e7

FRENCH

8 0-0 0-0 isn't one of the most popular systems (although it has been tried by French experts like Lputian and Shirov). If this isn't your opponent's preferred response to the Korchnoi Gambit, he is unlikely to want to allow it via this move-order either.

8 cxd4 dxe4

8...0-0 leads to similar considerations as outlined in the note to Black's 7th move. White can continue with 9 Ξ e1 or 9 a3 (maybe more logical here than on the last move, since the b4square is undefended and Black can't respond with ...c4), but the move that would probably put Black off castling is 9 e5.

9 🖄 xe4 0-0 10 🖄 c3 (D)



So we have a standard IQP position, but which lines can it be compared to?

White is actually a full tempo down compared to some well-established main lines (including ones from the Nimzo-Indian and the Semi-Tarrasch). For example, Sadler-Ortiz, Tromsø Olympiad 2014 went 1 d4 2 f6 2 c4 e6 3 2 c3 2 b4 4 e3 0-0 5 2 d3 d5 6 2 f3 c5 7 0-0 dxc4 8 &xc4 2c6 9 &d3 cxd4 10 exd4 &e7, where we have reached the game position with White to move. Another example is Babula-Illescas, Istanbul Olympiad 2012: 1 d4 2f6 2 c4 e6 3 2f3 d5 4 2c3 c5 5 cxd5 2xd5 6 e3 2c6 7 &d3 &e7 8 0-0 0-0 9 \blacksquare e1 2f6!? 10 a3 cxd4 11 exd4, and we have the text position with the extra a3 for White (which, as will appear, is a very useful move).

10...b6 (D)



It should be noted that White sometimes obtains this position (with an 'extra' a3) from our chosen system, in particular where Black plays an early@b4+ and later retreats to e7. As we have seen above, this manoeuvre is known from some lines of the Nimzo-Indian (and the Panov-Botvinnik Attack against the Caro-Kann), but it is hardly the most accurate here.

For instance: Sermek-Bukal, Croatian Team Ch, Pula 2001 went 1 e4 c5 2 ②f3 e6 3 c3 ③c6 4 d4 cxd4 5 cxd4 d5 6 ③c3 dxe4 7 ③xe4 ②b4+ 8 ③c3 ③f6 9 ③d3 0-0 10 0-0 ③e7; A.Kornev-Gleizerov, Kaluga 2003 went 1 e4

117

e6 2 d4 d5 3 公d2 公f6 4 皇d3 c5 5 c3 ②c6 6 公gf3 cxd4 7 cxd4 dxe4 8 公xe4 皇b4+ 9 公c3 0-0 10 0-0 皇e7. A.Kislinsky-Fröwis, Moscow 2012 went 1 e4 e6 2 d4 d5 3 公d2 c5 4 c3 公c6 5 公gf3 cxd4 6 cxd4 dxe4 7 公xe4 皇b4+ 8 公c3 公f6 9 皇d3 0-0 10 0-0 皇e7.

All of the black players in the three games given above were rated over 2400 (Gleizerov is a strong GM and a French specialist) and, frankly, I find the game from 2012 particularly surprising, since this line has been known for a while. However, it does demonstrate that it is possible for players to become confused when facing this system, especially since it gives rise to a structure that is highly unusual for the French.

Incidentally, the three quoted games gave rise to interesting and thematic attacking play, which we shall now examine. 11 a3 b6 12 \equiv e1 \pm b7 13 \pm c2 (*D*) and then:



a) 13...邕c8 14 營d3 and here:

a1) The naïve 14...²e8? runs into the absolutely standard 15 d5!. This motif (with the black rook on c8 or a8) has been known for decades – the earliest and most famous example I know of is Petrosian-Balashov, USSR 1974. However, this doesn't stop experienced players from regularly falling for this idea (either in this exact position, or in similar ones); for instance, Karpov has fallen for it more than once.

a2) 14...g6 15 \$h6 \$\equiv e8 16 \$\equiv ad1 2d5 17 h4 2xc3 18 bxc3 2a5 (the alternative 18... Wd5 is a good multipurpose move, directed against h5 and defending e6) 19 h5?! (19 \u00e9a4 is better, and at least avoids a disadvantage after 19... 皇c6 20 皇xc6 ②xc6 21 h5) 19... (Careford) control of the second s ple shot; 19... Wd5 was necessary and good) 20 hxg6 hxg6 21 罩xe6 鼻f6 (there's no time for 21... 约b2 since 22 In the set of the set 23 罩e1 凹d7 (Kislinsky-Fröwis, Moscow 2012) and now 24 ²/₂b3 is best, with the better game since a3 is immune as g6 would hang.

b) 13.... 包a5 14 皇g5 h6 15 皇f4 包c4 16 包e5 包d6?! 17 營d3 包f5 18 罩ad1 (White has put all his pieces on good squares while Black has achieved nothing – in particular, he hasn't exchanged any minor pieces) 18....包d5 19 包xd5 營xd5 (after 19....皇xd5? 20 g4 皇g5 21 gxf5 White wins a piece, since 21...皇xf4 22 f6 g6 23 包xg6 is mate in a few moves) 20 營h3! 包xd4? (Black should try to change the character of the game with 20...罩ad8 21 皇e4 螢xe4 22 罩xe4 皇xe4, although his compensation for the queen doesn't appear to be fully sufficient) 21 皇xh6!

FRENCH

(a thematic shot, which works perfectly in this position) 21.... 算fd8 (after 21...gxh6 22 營g4+ followed by 23 罩xd4 White wins material) 22 罩xd4! 營xd4 and now 23 兔g5 was enough for a large advantage in Kornev-Gleizerov, Kaluga 2003, but 23 營h5!! wins on the spot; for instance, 23... 罩f8 24 兔h7+ �ah8 25 兔e4 營xe4 26 罩xe4 兔xe4 27 兔g5+ �ag8 28 兔xe7 and White wins.

We now return to the position after 10...b6(D):



11 a3 違b7 12 違c2 響d7

Teeuwen's lack of experience in IQP structures begins to show. d7 is an unusual square for the black queen, as it is potentially exposed to 265 (perhaps in combination with 265) and, importantly, finds it difficult to vacate the central files. d6 would be a better square, when the queen can drop back to b8 once the a8-rook has been developed to c8 or d8.

13 ₩d3

Setting up the standard line-up on the b1-h7 diagonal.

13...**äad**8

13...a5! would be a more efficient version of the ... 逸a6 idea that was used in the game. After 14 單d1 逸a6 15 豐e3 the game is balanced.

Interestingly, going back to move 12, 12... 食a6 13 罩e1 has been used with success by some strong GMs as Black.

14 罩d1 鬯c8

Already I was becoming optimistic. 15 &g5 &a6 16 Шe3 (*D*)



16...Ød5

16...h6 is critical, but Black is no longer able to equalize. After 17 \$xf6! (the standard offer 17 \$xh6??, which would lead to a winning attack after 17...gxh6?? 18 \Wxh6, here fails to the simple 17... ②g4 -+) 17... 拿xf6 18 罩ac1 it might seem as though Black has the bishop-pair and no weaknesses, but White's space advantage and more active pieces are more important here. White has strong pressure; for instance, 18... 違b7 19 ②e4 鼻e7 20 b4 罩d7 21 \$b1 ₩e8 22 2g3 \$d6 23 ₩d3 f5 (23...g6 24 De4 is also promising for White) 24 Ze1 and the pawn on e6 is weaker than the pawn on d4.

A neutral move such as 16...²fe8 should be met simply with 17 ²ac1, developing the last piece and retaining all the advantages of the white position.

17 🖄 xd5 🖾 xd5?

The symmetrical structure arising after 17...exd5 (*D*) favours the side with better-placed pieces, which tends to be White. Then:



a) $18 \equiv ac1 \ f6 (18...\&xg5 \ 19 \oslash xg5 \ g6 \ 20 \&a4 \ and \ Black \ is struggling to hold his weaknesses together) \ 19 \&f4 \equiv fe8 \ 20 \oslash h4 \&d6 (20...g5? \ loses to 21 \&f5!, \ based \ on the beautiful point 21...gxf4 \ 22 <math>\cong xf4 \cong b7 \ 23 \cong xc6!! \ \boxtimes xc6 \ 24 \cong h6 \ followed \ by \ mate) \ 21 \&f5 \cong c7 \ 22 \&xd6 \cong xd6 \ 23 \cong c3 \ and \ Black \ should \ equalize \ with \ precise \ play.$

b) 18 2xe7 2fe8 19 2xd8 2xe3 20 fxe3 2xd8 21 2d3 gives White good chances – the rooks will be able to penetrate on the c-file and it is hard for Black to generate an attack since his minor pieces are so far from the kingside.

18 **@e4!** ±

Black's scattered pieces are targets for White's attack, and White's initiative is already decisive.

18...**¤d**6

18... &xg5 19 xg5 forks d5 and h7, and so wins material.

19 🖾 ac1 🌲 xg5 (D)

This move walks into a nice version of the Greek Gift sacrifice, but there were no good options; for instance, after 19...f6 20 \$\overline{1}f4 e5 21 dxe5 White wins a pawn while retaining much more active pieces.



20 🚊 xh7+!

Not especially difficult, but I'll permit myself an exclamation mark since it was the third game of the day! Instead, 20 ⁽²⁾/₍₂₎xg5? h6 gives White nothing special.

20...∲xh7 21 ∅xg5+ ∲g8

21...含g6 22 響g3 當h6 23 響xd6, taking a rook and winning the knight on c6, is one of the tactical points.

22 谢h3 邕fd8 23 谢h7+ 含f8 24 谢h8+ 含e7 25 谢xg7 邕f8

There is more than one winning continuation here, but the line I chose is quite thematic.